



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS, P.O. Box 1450-Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/624,536	07/24/2000	Vladimir Oudaltsov	15675.P325	5311	
7:	590 04/14/2004	EXAMINER			
Blakely Sokoloff Taylor & Zafman LLP 12400 Wilshire Boulevard 7th Floor Los Angeles, CA 90025-1026			CURCIO, JAMES A F		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2132	6	
			DATE MAILED: 04/14/200	4	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

♥					1		
		Application	n No.	Applicant(s)	m		
Office Action Summary		09/624,536		OUDALTSOV ET AL.	1		
		Examiner		Art Unit			
		James Cur		2132			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	appears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SH THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIOnsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by streply received by the Office later than three months after the need patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. R 1.136(a). In no even i. a reply within the statut iriod will apply and will latute, cause the applic	t, however, may a reply be tim ory minimum of thirty (30) day expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ation to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communi D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	cation.		
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2	?4 July 2000.					
2a) <u></u>	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠	This action is no	n-final.				
3) 🗌	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)⊠ 8)□	Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are pending in the applica 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) <u>7.9 and 10</u> is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	drawn from con					
Applicat	ion Papers						
	The specification is objected to by the Exam		ahiostod to by the	Evominos			
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to						
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co				121(d).		
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by th						
·	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
-	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for	eian nriarity und	er 35 IJ S.C. & 119/a)-(d) or (f)			
a)	All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docur 2. Certified copies of the priority docur 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International Buse the attached detailed Office action for a	nents have beer nents have beer priority docume ureau (PCT Rule	n received. n received in Applicat nts have been receiv e 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stag	e		
Attachme	nt(s)						
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	3)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D				
3) 🔲 Info	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-944 rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S er No(s)/Mail Date			Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Art Unit: 2132

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

1. The Office objects to claim 7 because it depends from claim 5 but is separated by claim 6, which does not depend from claim 5.

A series of singular dependent claims is permissible in which a dependent claim refers to a preceding claim which, in turn, refers to another preceding claim.

A claim which depends from a dependent claim should not be separated by any claim which does not also depend from said dependent claim. It should be kept in mind that a dependent claim may refer to any preceding independent claim. In general, applicant's sequence will not be changed. See MPEP § 608.01(n).

2. Claims 7, 9, and 10 objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c) as being in improper form because a multiple dependent claim should refer to other claims in the alternative only. See MPEP § 608.01(n). Accordingly, the claims have not been further treated on the merits.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claims 1-10 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Regarding claim 1, the word "means" appears three times and is preceded in these respective instances by the word(s) "delayline-forming", "non-linear", and "mixer"

Application/Control Number: 09/624,536

Art Unit: 2132

in an attempt to use a "means" clause to recite a claim element as a means for performing a specified function. However, since a function is not clearly specified by the word(s) preceding "means" in each of the three instances, it is impossible to determine the equivalents of each of the elements, as required by 35 U.S.C. 112, sixth paragraph. See *Ex parte Klumb*, 159 USPQ 694 (Bd. App. 1967).

Also regarding claim 1, it is not clear whether the "chaos generator" produces only "an encrypted electrical signal" or whether the "chaos generator" produces both "an encrypted electrical signal and a feedback loop". The claim is ambiguous in that "feedback loop" can be interpreted as an object of the initial instance of the verb "comprising" or as an object of the verb "producing." This ambiguity renders the claim vague and indefinite.

Claim 1 recites the limitation "the loop signal" in line 6. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Regarding claim 6, in the respective second and third instances where it appears, the word "means" is preceded by the word(s) "delayline-forming" and "non-linear" in an attempt to use a "means" clause to recite a claim element as a means for performing a specified function. However, since no function is specified by the word(s) preceding "means" in any of the instances, it is impossible to determine the equivalents of the elements, as required by 35 U.S.C. 112, sixth paragraph. See *Ex parte Klumb*, 159 USPQ 694 (Bd. App. 1967).

Also regarding claim 6, it is not clear whether the "means" receives only the "said signal" or both the "said signal" and "a feedback loop comprising delayline-forming

Art Unit: 2132

means and non-linear means". The claim is ambiguous in that "feedback loop" can be interpreted as an object of the initial instance of the verb "comprising" or as an object of the verb "receiving." This ambiguity renders the claim vague and indefinite.

Conclusion

- The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
 - a. Goedgebuer et al (US6704420B1)
- 6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to James Curcio whose telephone number is 703-305-8887. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday to Friday from 7 am to 5 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gilberto Barron, can be reached on Monday to Friday. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Application/Control Number: 09/624,536

Art Unit: 2132

Page 5

April 6, 2004 JC

AU 2132

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100